

*CITY OF ST. ANTHONY
COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES – February 25, 2009 – 6:00 P.M.
420 NORTH BRIDGE, SUITE A, ST. ANTHONY, ID, CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS*

PRESENT: Mayor Garth Rose, Councilmembers Woody Andersen, Bryan Fullmer, Bryant Biorn, Rod Willmore and Elva Powell. Councilmember Bryan Stoddard arrived at 6:52 p.m.

ALSO PRESENT: City Attorney Bill Forsberg, Police Chief Jim Smith, Public Works Superintendent Scott Butigan, City Clerk/Treasurer Patty Parkinson, and approximately 45 Interested Citizens.

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Rose at 6:05 p.m.

PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG was led by Cleve Rice.

PUBLIC HEARING Proposed Area of City Impact 6:00 p.m.

Mayor Rose opened the public hearing portion of the meeting by turning the meeting over to City Attorney Bill Forsberg who was assigned to facilitate and to be the moderator of the public hearing. Forsberg explained the purpose of the hearing, being that this is a forum for the public to be able to be heard by the City Council regarding the recommendations from the City Planning & Zoning Board on a recommendation to increase the City's area of impact to begin negotiations with Fremont County. The city originally was asked by a few land owners south of town to add to the area of impact approximately two years ago. At that time, the area under consideration was outside the city's current area of impact and the Planning and Zoning Board recommended increasing the area of impact in order to be able to provide the requested utility services. Since then, the Planning and Zoning Board has considered the matter and has made recommendations for a new area of impact. A map was displayed showing the current city boundaries, the current area of city impact and the new proposed area of city impact. The City Council has received the report and is ready to consider it but wants more input from the public. Forsberg stated the rules of receiving testimony from those that have requested to speak. Anyone requesting time to speak will be allowed three minutes. The City has invited Kirk Hibbard and Joshua Chase from the county to give an explanation of what an area of impact is, why we have one, and what the process is for adopting an area of impact. Forsberg turned the time over to Kirk Hibbard and Joshua Chase for a continuation of the staff report.

At this point, Forsberg was interrupted by a member of the audience wanting to know if the county representatives were limited to the three minutes. Forsberg explained that they were requested to speak as a continuation of the staff presentation in order to define what an area of impact is. This audience member then said he objects.

Kirk Hibbard took to the podium and introduced Joshua Chase who showed his

report via a PowerPoint presentation. Hibbard turned the time over to Joshua Chase.

Chase took to the podium saying he was not presenting an opinion that this is just a presentation for educational purposes. Chase presented his presentation on what an area of impact is. The primary reason is the State of Idaho requires that each city and county negotiate and define these areas of impact. It allows for orderly, logical and cost effect growth of the city. It also facilitates the city and county coordination to be able to jointly plan in these areas. It also protects residents from the possible negative effect or impact of developments. Section 67-6526 of Idaho Code specifically provides the criteria for land use planning. Chase explained that the current area of impact agreement between the city and the county call for the city's ordinances and comprehensive plan to apply to the city's area of impact. State code allows for three options, to use the city's ordinance, to use the county's or to use something different that is agreed upon by the city and the county. Regardless of what plan or ordinance is used, the regulatory responsibility remains with the county and remains in the county's jurisdiction. State code does require that the city and county review the area every 10 years. Chase said that once the area of impact is decided then it does allow for people that live in that area of impact the opportunity to have a seat on the City's Planning and Zoning Board.

Chase also went through some of the common questions asked about areas of impact. One common question is, "Will I have to pay taxes to the city?" The answer is no, unless you are annexed into the city. "Does inclusion into the impact area automatically mean I'll be annexed?" The answer is no, but there is criteria established that there is an expectation of being annexed sometime in the future. "Will the city or county be in charge of making zoning decisions in the impact area?" This is a joint venture where the city and county will hear about any changes, but the final decision is made by the county.

Chase ended his presentation by saying that the original impact area agreement was established in 2003. Chase showed a map of the boundaries and reviewed some history of one area being annexed and one area currently being rezoned at this time, the fairgrounds. Chase said that in defining an area of impact he recommends that the city and county identify a mutually agreed upon set of criteria to set the boundaries and to plan together.

Attorney Forsberg reviewed the rules with the speakers, allowing for three minutes for each. Forsberg began with those in favor:

Bob Reiley (640 S 3rd E) spoke in favor, saying it helps the city in planning for new water and sewer lines and to direct the growth. Reiley spoke about planning for industrial areas and housing areas and how the city needs to plan in five year increments.

No questions from council.

There being no other speakers for those in favor, Forsberg continued with those with a neutral position:

Ryan Crapo (1st West Main) came to represent the City of Parker; he is on their planning board there and he brought with him a copy of what they are hoping will be their final map for their proposed area of impact. Crapo talked about the number of phone calls from people that feel that they are citizens of the City of Parker and would prefer to be in their impact zone. He said that they have taken their east boundary and taken it to the canal right next to the City of St. Anthony's current boundary, next to the Youth Training Center.

No questions from council.

There being no other speakers for those in the neutral position, Forsberg continued with those opposed:

Dennis Murri (403 N 2400 E) said he was opposed to the enlargement because the enlargement of the area is too big based on per person population. Murri had created calculations to indicate the number of years it would take to annex the proposed area of impact. Murri also said that the enlargement is too large based on the slow rate of population growth.

Councilmember Biorn asked where Murri lives in the proposed area of impact. Murri answered, 403 N 2400 E.

Curtis Howard (2145 E 600 N) said he'd rather be in Parker's area of impact than St. Anthony's area of impact. Howard is concerned about the increased development in this area. Howard said it will cause more problems saying he likes his rural way of living. He said if this is being done to help realtors and developers then that's not the reason and it should be looked at. He said he believes that everyone has the right to do what they want with their land, but that it needs to be planned on how to keep the rural area rural. He said that this was all done for a subdivision down on 300, which is three miles out of town. And if that is the case then to run sewer and water to them would be taxes to the city. He said that the city can't take care of the roads they have now. He said that with the recession now that we should fix up affordable homes inside the City of St. Anthony and not take up this big area. Howard said he believes in growth and welcomes it, but that a plan should be in place that will accommodate everyone.

No questions from council.

Chan Atchley (1077 E 1300 N) thanked the council for allowing him to speak and said he was speaking on behalf of over 600 members of the Smart Growth Coalition. Atchley said he didn't see a comprehensive plan for this area. He said that the county just went through a process that created a preferred land use map and that use map lays out how the county expects to see population growth. He said with the size of the city's proposed area of impact that there are many areas that are expected to or should remain rural for a very long time. Atchley said there

is a river that runs through the area and what is the plan to protect it, as it's a great resource. Will there be a greenbelt, like Boise or Idaho Falls? The river should be used as an asset. He thinks there should be more thought put into this. Atchley said that growth comes from within and not "leap frogging." He said there are a lot of people opposed, largely because they don't understand the plan or the criteria being used to establish this area.

No questions from council.

Attorney Forsberg asked for people from the Smart Growth Coalition to raise their hands. There were eight people that raised their hands.

Celinda Birch (395 N 2200 E) said she was against it a year ago and is still against it. Birch said she has lived most of her life in this area and shops in St. Anthony. She said she likes the open spaces but change is coming and we should be ready. She said that she doesn't think that we should lose the beauty of the surrounding area just because some people want to create an opportunity on future land deals and home sales. Birch said that if the City of St. Anthony had most the homes and businesses filled inside the city then they should look at increasing the area of impact. Birch said that too many homes and businesses are empty and that the City is not bursting at the seams. Birch said that increasing the area of impact in a recession wouldn't be wise. She said that people need to be able to sell their land, but not at the expense of destroying the land and openness they share with their neighbors. Birch then asked for the city to put on hold for one or two years to wait for a stable economy.

No questions from council.

Neal Shirley (2202 E 300 N) said he agrees with all the previous people and agreed with Chan that the expansion shouldn't "leap frog" and to keep farm ground as farm ground for as long as they can. Shirley said that the city should expand from the center out. He said that the only reason this proposal came about was because a developer wanted to create an island city one mile away from the city. Shirley said that there is farm land in between the City of St. Anthony and this proposed satellite city. He said that the City of St. Anthony does not need this island city, only the developer needs it. He said this land is laser leveled farm land directly across from him and has "our" ditch which supplies his farm and is surrounded by pivoted irrigated farms on west, north and south.

Shirley was then interrupted by the Attorney Forsberg, as his time was up. Shirley then asked to make a closing statement, which Forsberg allowed. Shirley then said that there should be representation from the people that live in that area and that 300 are against it and hardly anyone is for it.

No questions from council.

Vard Wright (325 N 2200 E) asked Forsberg a question to clear up an issue of a conflict of interest saying half of the people on the Planning & Zoning Board

opposed this and the deciding vote ended up being people that were realtors and he wanted clarification of the conflict of interest. Attorney Forsberg said this is an opportunity for Wright to talk to the council and not for the attorney to talk. Wright said David Rudd wanted to comment but was sick and said that the sewer system contaminates his well every spring. And that if there is another leg of the sewer system that it would make it worse saying it's seeping into the river now.

No questions from council.

Charles Remone (2221 E 400 N) said this is not the time due to the economy and the financial problems that the city and the county are experiencing at this time. Remone said that they are under the assumption that this will allow developers to build large housing developments into the agricultural areas. He said he can not understand the farmers that have lived all their lives, operated their farms and raised their families wanting to change the surrounding area and their neighbors' way of life. Remone said we need to maintain this way of life and to do this the right way. Remone said he has lived in this area for nine years and wished he could have raised his children in the area. He said he spends most his time with the junior high school and high school children's sports program and said that they are being schooled by their teachers to be an asset to this community. He said his own children were raised in schools that were overcrowded and they became a number. If the developers want to build in the county they should go by county codes and not force city regulations on the surrounding areas and the people who want to leave it is an agricultural community. Remone said he feels like this is an invasion of their civil rights. He said if he wanted to live in the city he would have bought property in the city. Remone said that there is no place to shop in the City of St. Anthony and so there is nothing to bring people into the community. He said half the shops in St. Anthony are closed and empty. Remone said he things that the council should try working on building up the city within before going out three miles out of town to try and develop something.

No questions from council. Remone said if you put 200 or 300 homes then there is no place for the kids to go to the schools that are already overcrowded.

David Park (658 E 4 N) said he has property both in the current impact area and in the city. He said he plans on moving in the near future to be outside the city impact area, but now would be in the city impact area if this would be approved. He said he agrees with Mr. Murri that this is not a reasonable area of expansion. Park said that the square nature of the plan shows that it is an arbitrary plan. He said that it is highly unlikely that the City will ever expand services into some of those areas. Park said he was also extremely concerned about the rules that the City's governing body has imposed on him which wouldn't give him the opportunity to vote on any rules that would be placed on his property, particularly when there is no reason for it.

No questions from council.

David Davis (258 N 2300 E) said he wrote a letter a few weeks ago and read it out

loud. He said he does not appreciate the fact that the people in the City of St. Anthony do not listen when “we” speak. Davis said that they have not, do not or never will, give up “our” freedom for over taxation without representation and furthermore, do not want to be a part of the City of St. Anthony. He said “we” like it just the way it is and are not ready for more laws and mismanagement from the rich and those that can’t stand to see “us” living our lives without them. Davis said if he wanted to live in town he would have purchased a home in town. He said “we” do not need rich money hungry land developers creating something that is not necessary in this area. Davis said if “we” wish to gain more wealth, then the city should bring in more industry to improve the lifestyle of the people that live here and not bring in people without giving them anything to offer them. He said the city should fill the stores and business and try to improve what the city already has. Davis said he’s seen industry move out of here for various reasons and “we” need something more than the farming community to support us. He said “we” should try to get industry in before developing more residential areas.

No questions from council.

Trena Williams (349 N 2300 E) said she was born and raised here and has lived on the same piece of property for 45 years. Williams said she does not ever want to live in the impact zone of the city limits. She said that she understands that growth is inevitable and necessary but growth to pacify a few is not in the best interests of the majority. Williams said that if every building was occupied and maintained a thriving business and every home was occupied, then she could see increasing the impact zone. She said that she remembers when St. Anthony was thriving and that this is no longer the case. Williams said that this is just the agenda of some lofty land developers and real estate agents that want to line their own pockets. She said that if “we” sell the farm land for primary or secondary homes that that land could never be brought back to agriculture use. Williams said she owns 20 acres in the proposed impact area and if she were ultimately annexed she would be forced to sell, because she can’t pay city taxes on 20 acres. She said she doesn’t want city services and she has her own well and sewer system. She said she doesn’t feel like the city can maintain the services and roads that they have now. Williams said she knows that the city council will be very careful when they consider this as they are all very responsible people. She asked that the council not listen to the minority.

No questions from council.

Virgil Barg (2588 E Yellowstone) said that next to the Sugar City exit is a mess and that is exactly what “we’re” looking at with this. Barg said that they’ll cream off the money and run. He said that money is not in St. Anthony. He said doing this will place a hardship on the people in the rural area. He asked if “we” all did what they are wanting to do with that 160 acres what would this area be like, where would “we” go from there. Barg said that “we” are going to let one man have what he wants and the rest of us can’t have it because he’s already got it. He said it should never be considered, it’s just a money scam and some get developed and the rest just grow into weeds and it is just a bad deal.

No questions from council.

Attorney Forsberg then announced that everyone that had signed in to speak has spoken and he requested that if anyone else in the audience would like to address the council that this was their chance. Since there was no other person requesting to speak, Forsberg then reviewed the written letters received on the area of impact. Forsberg reviewed who sent letters to the council saying that they will be added to the record. Those that wrote letters were; Celina Birch, 395 N 2200 E; Charles E. Remone, 2221 E 400 N; David & Susan Davis, no address; Trena D. Williams and Chuck Williams Jr., no address; Curtis Howard, 2145 E 600 N; E. Zane Bloom, 2726 E 600 N; Travis and Cari Angell, 606 N 2800 E; Heidi Angell, 2737 E 600 N; Larry Goul, no address; Marty Angell, no address; Max Archibald, no address; Chad Edwards, 551 N 2800 E; Keith Mickelson and Joyce Mickelson, 581 N 2600 E; Sara Bunner, 566 N 2575 E; Joseph Bunner, 2582 E 538 N; Jake and Kayla Jensen, no address; Clarence L. Ashley and Susan B. Ashley, 2586 E 538 N; Virgil and Theresa Barg, 2588 E. Yellowstone Hy; Maureen Schnobirch and Michael Schnobirch, 548 N 2575 E; and Sara Kate Barg, 2592 E 538 N. Along with a petition with a number of signatures on it that was also submitted. All of these things are considered a part of the record.

Attorney Forsberg seeing that there were no other speakers addressed council asking for any additional questions. There being no other questions from council, Forsberg closed the public hearing.

Charlie Remone then spoke up from the audience saying there were 191 signatures on the petition. Attorney Forsberg said it is in the record.

Councilmember Andersen said that the heading is dated 2008 on a petition requesting exclusion. Andersen said he noticed that there were names on there with Rexburg addresses. Attorney Forsberg said he was not able to answer any questions related to the petition.

Attorney Forsberg said that the record is now closed. Forsberg said it was a great exercise in citizenship and felt that this was a very successful public hearing. Forsberg reminded everyone that this is only the beginning, or a step, in a rather long process. There will be no other consideration of this matter tonight, as it is not on the agenda.

Mayor Rose recessed the meeting for 10 minutes.

After a 10 minute recess Mayor Rose brought the regular council meeting back into order.

GENERAL BUSINESS

State of the City Address – Mayor Rose – Mayor Rose began by introducing the

city staff. Mayor said he was appointed in January to fill the remaining term of the resignation of prior Mayor Bill Beck. Mayor then introduced each council member and reported on their responsibilities by defining their liaisons and committees. Mayor talked about declaring a snow disaster at the beginning of this year. He also talked about the annual audit and indicated that there are a few items that need addressed and they are being addressed. Mayor reviewed the sales in the Industrial Park, three lots have sold. Department Heads have been instructed to watch their budgets due to anticipated shortcomings from state revenue sharing. Mayor reviewed that all utilities; water, sewer and garbage are earning their way. The sewer treatment plant has shown consistently a 98% removal of all containments and is in allowed limits. The north and south water lines are completed and a little work will be completed in the spring as the weather improves. Mayor reported on the sad shape of the city streets. The city is always trying to find ways to improve the roads, but have not yet figured out a way to make the improvements. Mayor said that Jerry Cain was appointed as the City's Airport Manager and appreciates that he volunteers for this position. Mayor reported that the gravel pit property sold and the city used the money to replace the roof on the city building. The police department purchased two new police vehicles, one in last year's budget and one in this year's budget, as well as purchasing two used vehicle from West Bountiful, Utah Police Department using the insurance money from the wrecked vehicle. The city received a really good buy and we need to send them a letter to thank them for the good deal. The fire department is doing well and is updating their equipment as needed. They are in need of a fire truck. The city was awarded a grant for West Main in the amount of 2.4 million. We have also applied for something from the possible stimulus package. Mayor thanked the Flower Ladies for the good job they are doing. He said the flowers cost quite a bit of money but thinks it is worth it. In closing, the Mayor said that even through all the controversy he still thinks St. Anthony is a great place to live and raise a family.

Resolution for Hearing Procedures – Mayor Rose asked for any comment on the proposed resolution for hearing procedures. Councilmember Biorn read the resolution. A motion to accept the resolution as read was made by Councilmember Fullmer and seconded by Councilmember Powell. All were in favor. Motion passed 6-0.

PSI Environmental – Stoney Tucker – Stoney Tucker approached the council and asked if there were any concerns, complaints or questions regarding their service. Tucker said that since the contract was written, the city has an entirely new council. He reminded council that PSI is the contractor that has picked up the garbage for the past three years. The current contract is a five year contract with another rolling five. Councilmember Biorn said that the only complaint is the color of the cans. Tucker said this is the first area that has complained about the color of the cans. Biorn asked if they could be replaced with something gray. Mayor Rose asked what the can life is. Tucker said that they are expected to last 10 years. If the city is serious about the color of can, they can do replacements as they break. Tucker said they have come here to request for a four percent

increase now and a three percent for next year. He said that would be 11 cents per home and 10 cents per yard on commercial. Biorn said that the contract price is \$6.05 per can and that an increase, according to the contract indicates it would be based on the Western Consumer Price Index over the last 12 months. Biorn said he looked at the last 12 months and it was 3.5%. Tucker said that they haven't requested an increase in the last three years and that if the city remembers, the closet bid to theirs was \$8.00 per can. Council discussed the prices and proposed increases. A motion to request that the finance committee meet and report back a recommendation to the council was made by Councilmember Fullmer and seconded by Councilmember Willmore. Tucker said he would put his request in writing and fax it to us. All council members approved. Motion carried. The Finance Committee will meet and this item will be placed back on the council's agenda.

Tucker said that the county land fill has started inspecting their loads and have started saying that they won't allow them to dump certain loads. He said the city needs to start checking into what to do if the landfill starts to fill. Mayor Rose said he would talk to the commissioners. Councilmember Biorn asked how they are getting the non allowed items now. Tucker said these items are being placed in the commercial dumpsters around town.

Ordinance Purpose Statement/Fiscal Note – This item was introduced by Councilmember Fullmer. After some discussion, council seemed to like the idea. A motion to add a purpose statement and/or fiscal note to any ordinance or resolution where it was appropriate was made by Councilmember Biorn and seconded by Councilmember Powell. All council members approved. Motion carried.

Central School One Way Traffic Count Report – Chief Smith reported that he completed the report and handed out a paper copy to the council members. He reviewed with council that 154 vehicles traveled in the morning, showing the direction of travel and what they do when they get there. Councilmember Fullmer asked Chief what his opinion is of creating a one way street at Central School. Chief said he thought that a one way street would be beneficial. Councilmember Biorn asked about the impact of traffic entering from North Fourth. Chief said that the crossing guard working at those streets does assist with the traffic flow. Council discussed that the costs of changing this road to a one way should be the responsibility of the school. Councilmember Andersen said the school is the only one requesting the change and so they should pay for these changes. Councilmember Willmore said that if we do it we should have a plan for the Hawkes' home so that they can enter without driving around the block. Andersen thinks we need another plan from the school to show the lanes and how the signage would work. Mayor Rose requested that the Clerk and Public Works Superintendent contact the school district to have them provide another proposal to the council for consideration.

INVOICES & CLAIMS - Payment Approval Reports were presented to the Mayor

and Council. A motion to accept the invoices and claims and to make payment was made by Councilmember Fullmer and seconded by Councilmember Andersen. All approved. Motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENT - Mayor Rose opened the floor for public comment. Sherry Jackson, President of the Chamber, wanted to review a couple things with the council. She said she really appreciates Mayor Rose and Councilmember Biorn being a part of the Chamber and coming to their annual banquet. Jackson reported on the public input received from that meeting, where people commented about the school traffic on Fourth North. Also, she is working with a group called Mobility Idaho.org. They look at mobility needs for Idaho. She encouraged the council to look up the site and to provide the city's input on what the city is doing and needing for public transportation.

MAYOR & COUNCIL REPORTS

Mayor Rose reported on the upcoming work meeting with the County Commissioners next week Wednesday, March 04, 2009 at 6:30 in the County Commissioner's Board Room. Mayor would like as many councilmembers and staff to attend that meeting as possible.

Councilmember Andersen reported that there will be a Parking Committee Meeting tomorrow night, Tuesday, February 25, 2009 at 7:00 p.m. The committee is starting over and hopefully will gain speed as everyone learns more about what they are trying to accomplish with the parking ordinance.

Councilmember Powell wanted to know when the Finance Committee will be meeting. The Clerk said she would contact everyone and post the agenda.

Councilmember Fullmer said the National Guard contacted us for police reports and for some reason was not getting a response from the city. Attorney Forsberg asked if they had completed a public information request and if so then he can review that request and check into it for them.

Councilmember Andersen said that since Councilmember Powell is quitting the job that made it difficult for her to attend city council meetings on a Wednesday evening, is there any reason to change the meeting dates now. Mayor Rose asked the Clerk to add it to the next agenda so that council may discuss changing the regular council meetings date back to Wednesday.

DEPARTMENT REPORTS

The Clerk handed out a Planning and Zoning Training that will be held this Saturday, February, 28, 2009 in Pocatello. This is the same training that Attorney Forsberg attended and said it was good training. Mayor Rose asked that the Clerk provide this information to the Planning and Zoning Board and let them know they could also attend.

The Clerk handed out the proposed changes to the last draft of the Personnel Policy and asked that it be added to the next council agenda for discussion. Mayor advised to add it the agenda.

The Clerk said that the Safe Route To School Project is ready to be bid out. Mayor said he had spoken Paul Snarr from Schiess & Associates and he thought that the bid could go out and if needed the path could be reduced in size to accommodate receiving a bid under the \$100,000 grant amount. The city is now waiting for the state to give us the go ahead to put the bid out.

Councilmember Fullmer wanted to know what the next step would be with the proposed city area of impact. Councilmember Biorn said that there is a work meeting with the county. Fullmer wants to caution the council about the stimulus package and said that our governor may not accept any stimulus money. Councilmember Stoddard wants to add to the Finance Committee Agenda a review of the contract with the Greenway Consultant.

Councilmember Willmore wanted to know if the council had any plans to open up Third North as a through street. Mayor Rose remembered that it had been okayed, but there was a matter of how to pay for it. Councilmember Biorn wanted to know if there would be an objection to gravel. Councilmember Andersen said it would be hard to maintain. Mayor Rose asked to add this item to the next council's agenda.

Attorney Forsberg reported meeting with the Mayor, Councilmember Willmore and Neils Thueson (the President of the Airport Board) regarding the hangar lease agreements. The hangar tenants had several concerns of which were addressed. The City agreed to reduce the amount of liability insurance required by the city from \$500,000 to \$100,000.

Attorney Forsberg said the city has had the public hearing on the proposed area of impact and the next step is to add that item to our agenda for discussion. Forsberg said there will be a work meeting with the County to see how the County would like to proceed. The City of Parker is also discussing their area of impact and they are looking at boundaries that would meet up to our current area of impact boundary. Forsberg reminded council that the City of St. Anthony provides sewer services to the City of Parker. There may be some negotiating with the City of Parker, the County and the City of St. Anthony. Mayor Rose requested that Forsberg try and attend next week's work meeting with the County.

Public Works Superintendent Scott Butigan reported on how the crews are chasing water and trying to move back the snow to allow water to move down the drains. They are trying to patch the holes in the streets as long as the mix is hot enough and the weather permits. Butigan said they plan on picking up materials from Hadden Fencing on March 6, 2009. He said he is still trying to negotiate with Sugar City to see if they are interested in purchasing those extra materials. Councilmember Stoddard said that a lot of people have told him that they are pleased with the work that has been done on the roads.

